MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Attorneys at Law 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1018

93 JAN 13 PH Telephone: (650) 813-5600

fimile: (650) 494-0792

To: R. Schwadron, Ph.D.

Patent and Trademark Office

Facsimile: (703) 305-3014

Phone:

(703) 308-4680

From: Catherine M. Polizzi **Date:** January 14, 1998

We are transmitting a total of '4' pages (including this page). Original or hard copy to follow if this box is checked \square .

If you do not receive all pages, please call as soon as possible (650) 813-5651.

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct: 3518/SLW

This facsimile contains confidential information which may also be privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not copy, use, or distribute it. If you have received it in error, please advise Morrison & Foerster LLP immediately by telephone or facsimile and return it promptly by mail.

In the application of:

MALAYA CHATTERJEE et al.

Serial No.:

08/766,350

Filing Date:

13 December 1996

For:

MURINE MONOCLONAL ANTI-IDIOTYPE /

ANTIBODY 11D10 AND METHODS OF USE

THEREOF

Examiner: R. Schwadron, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit: 1816

Attached please find the following documents:

1. Response to Restriction Requirement

Peritable Extension of Time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)

charge no. 304142000321

Docket No. 30414/2000321 Client Ref. 11D10

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facgimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on January 14, 1998.

Susan L. Wooldridge

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Chatterjee et al.

Serial No.:

08/766,350

Filing Date:

December 13, 1996

For:

MURINE MONOCLONAL ANTI-IDIOTYPE ANTIBODY 11D10 AND METHODS OF USE THEREOF Examiner: R. Schwadron, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit: 1816

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This communication is in response to a restriction requirement mailed October 3, 1997.

A petition for a 3 month extension of time accompanies this response, with the appropriate fee.

Applicants' representative thanks Examiner Schwadron for extending the courtesy of a telephone interview on January 13, 1998, regarding the restriction requirement and its response. Various points discussed will be reiterated below, along with the reasons for Applicants' traversal of the restriction requirement.